arXiv:1602.03236v1 [math.CO] 10 Feb 2016

Orthogonality graph of the algebra of upper triangular matrices*

B.R. Bakhadly
Lomonosov Moscow State University,
Faculty of Mechanics and Mathematics

Abstract

We study the connectedness and the diameter of orthogonality graphs of upper triangular matrix algebras over arbitrary fields.

Keywords: orthogonality, graphs, upper triangular, matrices.

1 Introduction

Nowadays binary relations on associative rings, in particular, on the matrix algebra can be investigated with the help of graph theory if we study the so-called *relation graph* whose vertices are the elements of some set and two vertices are connected by an edge if and only if the corresponding elements are in this relation. Commuting graphs and zero divisor graphs are the examples of relation graphs that have been studied intensively during the last 20 years. This article concerns orthogonality graphs. In the paper [6] the notion of graph generated by the mutual orthogonality relation for the elements of an associative ring was introduced. The authors of [6] computed the diameters of orthogonality graphs of the full matrix algebra over an arbitrary field and its subsets consisting of diagonal, diagonalizable, triangularizable, nilpotent, and niltriangular matrices. The relation of orthogonality can be found in [9, 13, 14] where some partial orders on matrix algebra and matrix transformations which are monotone with respect to these orders are studied. Matrix orders are widely used in various fields of algebra and have applications in mathematical statistics and many other areas of mathematics [7]. For the detailed and self-contained information on this topic see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6] and the references therein.

The main subject of our research is connected with triangular matrices. In [5] Akbari and Raja proved that if $n \geqslant 2$ and U is the set of all upper triangular matrices, then for

*This work is partially financially supported by grants RFBR 15-01-01132, RFBR 15-31-20329 and MD-962.2014.01

every algebraic division ring D the commuting graph of U is connected. Besides, the zero divisor graph of upper triangular matrices over commutative rings was also investigated in papers [12, 11, 8]. The aim of this paper is to prove the connectedness and calculate the diameter of orthogonality graphs of upper triangular matrix algebras over arbitrary fields.

Recall some definitions from graph theory. The notions of graph theory used in this article can be found for example in [10, Chapter 2].

A *graph* Γ is a non-empty set of vertices $\mathrm{V}(\Gamma)$ and edges $\mathrm{E}(\Gamma)$. If v_1, v_2 are two vertices and $e = (v_1, v_2)$ is the edge connecting them, then the vertex v_1 and the edge e are *incident*, the vertex v_2 and the edge e are also *incident*. A *path (walk)* is a sequence of vertices and edges $v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \ldots, e_k, v_k$, where any two neighbor elements are incident. If $v_0 = v_k$, then the path is *closed*. The *length of a path*, denoted by d, is the number of edges that it uses, under the condition that each edge is counted as many times as it occurs in the path. For the path $M = v_0, e_1, v_1, e_2, v_2, \ldots, e_k, v_k$ the length of M equals k, regardless of whether the edges are repeated or not in the path. The graph is said to be *connected* if it is possible to establish a path from any vertex to any other vertex of the graph. The *distance* $d(u, v)$ between two vertices u and v in a graph Γ is the length of the shortest path between them. If u and v are unreachable from each other, $d(u, v) = \infty$. It is assumed that $d(u, u) = 0$ for any vertex u. The *diameter* $\mathrm{diam}(\Gamma)$ of a graph Γ is the maximum of distances between vertices for all pairs of vertices in the graph.

Let us introduce some notations that will be needed in this paper. Throughout our paper, $\mathbb{F}$ and R denote an arbitrary field and an arbitrary associative ring with unity, respectively. $M_{m,n}(\mathbb{F})$ is the set of $m \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}$, $M_n(\mathbb{F}) = M_{n,n}(\mathbb{F})$ is the ring (or algebra) of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathbb{F}$, $GL_n(\mathbb{F})$ is the group of invertible matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{F})$. T_n denotes the set of all upper triangular matrices in $M_n(\mathbb{F})$. E_{ij} (or $E_{i,j}$) is a matrix whose (i, j)-entry is 1 and other entries are 0. If A is a matrix, then A^t denotes the transpose of A. It is considered that $\mathbb{F}^n = M_{n,1}(\mathbb{F})$. $0_{n\times m}$ and 0_n are zero matrices of sizes $n \times m$ and $n \times n$, respectively. I_r is the identity matrix of size $r \times r$ and J_r is the $r \times r$ Jordan block with the eigenvalue 0.

The following definition is well-known.

Definition 1.1. Two elements $r_1 \in R$ and $r_2 \in R$ are *orthogonal* if $r_1 r_2 = r_2 r_1 = 0$.

$O_R(X)$ denotes the set of elements of R which are orthogonal to all elements of X, where X is a subset of R.

The definition of orthogonality graphs was introduced and investigated by the present author, Guterman, and Markova in [6].

Definition 1.2 ([6, Definition 2.15])**.** With every ring R one can associate the *orthogonality graph* $O(R)$ with vertex set consisting of all non-zero two-sided zero divisors of R, in which two vertices are connected by an edge if and only if the corresponding elements of R are orthogonal.

Example 1.3. Let $R = M_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)$. Denote $A_{01} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{11} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{12} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{13} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{14} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{21} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{23} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{24} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{25} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{31} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{32} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{33} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, $A_{34} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then for R we have the following relation graphs:



Pic. 1



Pic. 2



Pic. 3

where Pic. 1, Pic. 2, and Pic. 3 correspond to orthogonality, zero divisor, and commuting graphs, respectively.

2 Orthogonality graph of the algebra of upper triangular matrices

Recall the result from [6] which will be used later.

Lemma 2.1 ([6, Lemma 4.1])**.** *The orthogonality graph $O(M_n(\mathbb{F}))$ is empty for $n = 1$. For $n = 2$ the graph $O(M_n(\mathbb{F}))$ is not connected and is the union of its connected subgraphs with the following sets of vertices:*

1. *The set*

$$V_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \middle| \, 0 \neq b \in \mathbb{F} \right\};$$

2. *The set*

$$V_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ a & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F} \right\};$$

3. *The set*

$$V_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F} \right\};$$

4. *For every* $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ *the set*

$$V_{4,\alpha} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & c\alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d \\ 0 & -d/\alpha \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq d \in \mathbb{F} \right\};$$

5. *For every* $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ *the set*

$$V_{5,\alpha} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c & c\alpha \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} d & 0 \\ -d/\alpha & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq d \in \mathbb{F} \right\};$$

6. *For all* $0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$ *the set*

$$V_{6,\alpha,\beta} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\alpha a & a \\ -\alpha\beta a & \beta a \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} -\beta b & b \\ -\alpha\beta b & \alpha b \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq b \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

The diameter of the connected component corresponding to each of the vertex sets V_1, $V_{4,\alpha}$, $V_{5,\alpha}$ *equals* 1 *if* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$, *and equals* 2 *if* $|\mathbb{F}| > 2$.

The vertex sets $V_{6,\alpha,\beta}$ *with* $\alpha \neq \beta$ *are defined over fields with* $|\mathbb{F}| > 2$, *and the diameters of the corresponding connected components equal* 2.

The diameter of the connected component corresponding to any of the vertex sets V_2, V_3, *and* $V_{6,\alpha,\alpha}$ *equals* 0 *if* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$, *and equals* 1 *if* $|\mathbb{F}| > 2$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let* $\mathbb{F}$ *be a field. Then the graph* $O(T_2)$ *is disconnected, and it is a union of its connected subgraphs with the following sets of vertices:*

1. *The set*

$$V_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq b \in \mathbb{F} \right\};$$

2. *The set*

$$V_3 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq a \in \mathbb{F} \right\};$$

3. *For every* $0 \neq \alpha \in \mathbb{F}$ *the set*

$$V_{4,\alpha} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c & c\alpha \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq c \in \mathbb{F} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & d \\ 0 & -d/\alpha \end{pmatrix} \Big| \, 0 \neq d \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

The diameters of the connected components corresponding to all of the vertex sets V_1, $V_{4,\alpha}$ *equal* 1 *if* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ *and* 2 *if* $|\mathbb{F}| > 2$. *The diameter of the connected component corresponding to the vertex set* V_3 *equals* 0 *if* $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{Z}_2$ *and* 1 *if* $|\mathbb{F}| > 2$.

Proof. The assertion follows from Lemma 2.1 because the graph $O(T_2(\mathbb{F}))$ is a subgraph of $O(M_2(\mathbb{F}))$ and, for all $0 \neq \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{F}$, the vertex sets V_1, V_3, $V_{4,\alpha}$ belong to $T_2(\mathbb{F})$, whereas V_2, $V_{5,\alpha}$, $V_{6,\alpha,\beta}$ contain no upper triangular matrix. □

Lemma 2.3. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field, $n \geqslant 3$, and matrices $A, B \in T_n$ have the following block forms:*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{a} \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & A_1 \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & \bar{b} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $A_1, B_1 \in T_{n-1}$ are invertible matrices, $\bar{a} \in M_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{F})$, $\bar{b} \in M_{n-1,1}(\mathbb{F})$. Then

$$1.\ O_{T_n}(A) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & \bar{c} \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & 0_{n-1} \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \bar{c} = -c_0 \bar{a} A_1^{-1},\ c_0 \in \mathbb{F},\ \bar{c} \in M_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{F}) \right\},$$

$$2.\ O_{T_n}(B) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-1} & \bar{c} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & c_0 \end{pmatrix} \,\middle|\, \bar{c} = -B_1^{-1} \bar{b} c_0,\ c_0 \in \mathbb{F},\ \bar{c} \in M_{n-1,1}(\mathbb{F}) \right\}.$$

Proof. 1. Assume that $C \in T_n$ has the following block form:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} c_0 & \bar{c} \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & C_1 \end{pmatrix}, \ C_1 \in T_{n-1}.$$

Then

$$AC = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \bar{a} C_1 \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & A_1 C_1 \end{pmatrix}, \ CA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & c_0 \bar{a} + \bar{c} A_1 \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & C_1 A_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $C \in O_{T_n}(A)$, $AC = CA = 0$. Since A_1 is invertible, $C_1 = 0$ and $\bar{c} = -c_0 \bar{a} A_1^{-1}$.

2. Assume that $C \in T_n$ has the following block form:

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 & \bar{c} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & c_0 \end{pmatrix}, \ C_1 \in T_{n-1}.$$

Then

$$BC = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 C_1 & B_1 \bar{c} + \bar{b} c_0 \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \ CB = \begin{pmatrix} C_1 B_1 & C_1 \bar{b} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

If $C \in O_{T_n}(B)$, $BC = CB = 0$. Since B_1 is invertible, $C_1 = 0$ and $\bar{c} = -B_1^{-1} \bar{b} c_0$, which completes the proof. □

Definition 2.4. Matrices A, $B \in T_n$ satisfying the conditions of the previous lemma will be called *bad-1* and *bad-2*, respectively. All the other matrices of T_n are *good*. A good matrix is called *s-good* (special good) if it has zero entries in the positions $(1,1)$ and (n,n). A good matrix is called *ns-good* (not special good) if it is not s-good.

Remark 2.5. Note that matrices of $O(T_n)$ have at least one zero entry on their diagonal. Besides, bad (i.e. bad-1 and bad-2) matrices have only one zero entry that is either $(1,1)$ or (n,n). Moreover, for each ns-good matrix there exists $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that its (i,i)-entry is zero.

Lemma 2.6. *For any non-zero singular matrix $A \in T_n$ there exists a rank 1 matrix $R_i \in T_n$, corresponding to the zero (i,i)-entry of A, such that $d(A, R_i) \leqslant 1$ in $O(T_n)$.*

Proof. By Remark 2.5 the zero (i,i)-entry of A exists. Assume that A has the following block form $A = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & A_2 \\ 0_{(n-i)\times i} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $i \times i$ block A_1 is singular. Therefore, there exists a non-zero vector $\hat{x} \in \mathbb{F}^i$ such that $A_1\hat{x} = 0$. Hence A annihilates a vector $\bar{x} = \hat{x} \oplus 0_{n-i}$. Likewise we argue for transpose of A which annihilates a non-zero vector $\bar{f} = 0_{i-1} \oplus \hat{f}$. Then $R_i = \bar{x}\bar{f}^t$ is the desired upper triangular matrix of rank 1, since $AR_i = (A\bar{x})\,\bar{f}^t = 0 = \bar{x}\left(A^t\bar{f}\right)^t = R_iA$. □

Remark 2.7. If $i \neq 1$, then the first column of R_i is zero, and if $i \neq n$, then the last row of R_i is zero.

Theorem 2.8. *Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field and $n \geqslant 3$. Then the graph $O(T_n)$ is connected and* $\operatorname{diam} O(T_n) = 4$.

Proof. Let A, $B \in T_n$ be two non-zero singular matrices. We show that there is a path between A and B in $O(T_n)$ of length at most 4. The general situation splits into the 3 following cases.

1. First, assume that both A and B are good. We have the following subcases.

1.1. Suppose that both A and B are s-good. Since the last row and the first column of both matrices are zero, we have the path

$$A - E_{1n} - B.$$

1.2. Suppose that both A and B are ns-good. Then by Remark 2.5 there exist $i, j \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$ such that (i,i)-entry of A and (j,j)-entry of B are zero. By Lemma 2.6 we can find non-zero upper triangular rank 1 matrices $R_i = \bar{x}\bar{f}^t$ and $R_j = \bar{y}\bar{h}^t$ corresponding to the zero (i,i)-entry of A and the zero (j,j)-entry of B, respectively, with $d(A, R_i) \leqslant 1$, $d(B, R_j) \leqslant 1$ in $O(T_n)$. Since $1 < i, j < n$, by Remark 2.7 the last row and the first column of both R_i and R_j are zero. Hence we have the path

$$A - R_i - E_{1n} - R_j - B.$$

1.3. Without loss of generality suppose that A is s-good and B is ns-good. As in item 1.2, we can find the matrix R_j that is orthogonal to B and E_{1n}. Hence we have the path

$$A - E_{1n} - R_j - B.$$

2. Consider the case when both A and B are bad. We have the following subcases.

2.1. Suppose that both A and B are bad-1. By Lemma 2.3 we can find non-zero matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1_0} & \bar{a_1} \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & 0_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},\ B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1_0} & \bar{b_1} \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & 0_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

that are orthogonal to A and B, respectively. Since $n \geqslant 3$, there exists a non-zero element $\bar{d} \in \mathbb{F}^n$ such that $A_1\bar{d} = B_1\bar{d} = 0$. If D is a matrix with first $n-1$ zero columns and its last column is $\bar{d}$, then we have the path

$$A - A_1 - D - B_1 - B.$$

2.2. Suppose that both A and B are bad-2. By Lemma 2.3 we can find non-zero matrices

$$A_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-1} & \bar{a_2} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & a_{2_0} \end{pmatrix},\ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-1} & \bar{b_2} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & b_{2_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

that are orthogonal to A and B, respectively. Since $n \geqslant 3$, there exists a non-zero element $\bar{d'} \in M_{1,n}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\bar{d'}A_7 = \bar{d'}B_5 = 0$. If D' is a matrix whose first row is $\bar{d'}$ and the other rows are zero, then we have the path

$$A - A_2 - D' - B_2 - B.$$

2.3. Without loss of generality suppose that A is bad-1 and B is bad-2. As in items 2.1 and 2.2, we can find non-zero matrices

$$A_1 = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1_0} & \bar{a_1} \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & 0_{n-1} \end{pmatrix},\ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-1} & \bar{b_2} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & b_{2_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

that are orthogonal to A and B, respectively. Let the first entry of the vector $\bar{a_1}$ be a_{1_1}, and let the first two entries of the vector $\bar{b_2}$ be b_{2_1} and b_{2_2}. There exists a non-zero matrix $L' \in M_2(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\begin{pmatrix} a_{1_0} & a_{1_1} \end{pmatrix} L' = L' \begin{pmatrix} b_{2_1} \\ b_{2_2} \end{pmatrix} = 0$. Then if we put $L = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{2\times(n-2)} & L' \\ 0_{(n-2)\times(n-2)} & 0_{(n-2)\times 2} \end{pmatrix}$, we have the path

$$A - A_1 - L - B_2 - B.$$

3. Now without loss of generality suppose that A is good and B is bad. We have the following subcases.

3.1. Suppose that A is ns-good and B is bad-1. As in items 1.2 and 2.1, we can find non-zero matrices

$$R_i = \bar{x}\bar{f}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & R_i' \\ 0 & 0_{1\times(n-1)} \end{pmatrix},\ B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} b_{1_0} & \bar{b_1} \\ 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & 0_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}$$

that are orthogonal to A and B, respectively. Since R_i' is singular, there exists a non-zero element $\bar{c} \in \mathbb{F}^{n-1}$ such that $R_i'\bar{c} = 0$. If we put $C = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{1\times(n-1)} & c_0 \\ 0_{n-1} & \bar{c} \end{pmatrix}$, where $c_0 = -\bar{b_1}\bar{c}/b_{1_0}$, we have the path

$$A - R_i - C - B_1 - B.$$

3.2. Suppose that A is s-good and B is bad-1. Since the matrix A is orthogonal to $E_{1n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & E'_{1n} \\ 0 & 0_{1\times(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$, where $E'_{1n} = E_{1,n-1} \in M_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}) \setminus GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{F})$, then, as in item 3.1, we can find the path

$$A - E_{1n} - C' - B_1 - B.$$

3.3. Suppose that A is ns-good and B is bad-2. As in items 1.2 and 2.2, we can find non-zero matrices

$$R_i = \bar{x}\bar{f}^t = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & R'_i \\ 0 & 0_{1\times(n-1)} \end{pmatrix},\ B_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{n-1} & \bar{b_2} \\ 0_{1\times(n-1)} & b_{2_0} \end{pmatrix}$$

that are orthogonal to A and B, respectively. Since R'_i is singular, there exists a non-zero element $\bar{c_1} \in M_{1,n-1}(\mathbb{F})$ such that $\bar{c_1}R'_i = 0$. If we put $C_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{c_1} & c_{1_0} \\ 0_{n-1} & 0_{(n-1)\times 1} \end{pmatrix}$, where $c_{1_0} = -\bar{c_1}\bar{b_2}/b_{2_0}$, we have the path

$$A - R_i - C_1 - B_2 - B.$$

3.4. Suppose that A is s-good and B is bad-2. Since the matrix A is orthogonal to $E_{1n} = \begin{pmatrix} 0_{(n-1)\times 1} & E'_{1n} \\ 0 & 0_{1\times(n-1)} \end{pmatrix}$, where $E'_{1n} = E_{1,n-1} \in M_{n-1}(\mathbb{F}) \setminus GL_{n-1}(\mathbb{F})$, then, as in item 3.3, we can find the path

$$A - E_{1n} - C'' - B_2 - B.$$

Thus we have shown that that $\operatorname{diam} O(T_n) \leqslant 4$ in all cases as desired.

Now we claim that $\operatorname{diam} O(T_n) = 4$. Let $\hat{A} = I_n - E_{11}$ and $\hat{B} = J_n$. Straightforward computations show that

$$O_{T_n}(\hat{A}) = \left\{ \alpha E_{11} \,\middle|\, \alpha \in \mathbb{F} \right\},\ O_{T_n}(\hat{B}) = \left\{ \alpha E_{1n} \,\middle|\, \alpha \in \mathbb{F} \right\}.$$

Clearly, $E_{11}E_{1n} \neq 0$, hence $d(\hat{A}, \hat{B}) > 3$ and the proof is completed. □

Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to his scientific supervisor Alexander E. Guterman for posing this problem and fruitful discussions.

References

[1] S. Akbari, M. Ghandehari, M. Hadian, A. Mohammadian, On commuting graphs of semisimple rings. — Linear Algebra Appl. **390** (2004), 345–355.

[2] S. Akbari, A. Mohammadian, On the zero-divisor graph of a commutative ring. — J. Algebra **274** (2004), 847–855.

[3] S. Akbari, A. Mohammadian, Zero-divisor graphs of non-commutative rings. — J. Algebra **296** (2006), 462–479.

[4] S. Akbari, A. Mohammadian, H. Radjavi, P. Raja, On the diameters of commuting graphs. — Linear Algebra Appl. **418** (2006), 161–176.

[5] S. Akbari, P. Raja, Commuting graphs of some subsets in simple rings. — Linear Algebra Appl. **416** (2006), 1038–1047.

[6] B. R. Bakhadly, A. E. Guterman, O. V. Markova, Graphs defined by orthogonality. — Computational methods and algorithms. Part XXVII, Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI **428** (2014), 49–80 (in Russian). Journal of Mathematical Sciences **207**:5 (2015), 698–717, New York (in English).

[7] J. K. Baksalary, J. Hauke, A further algebraic version of Cochran's theorem and matrix partial orderings. — Linear Algebra Appl. **127** (1990), 157–169.

[8] T. Fenstermacher, E. Gegner, Zero-Divisor Graphs of 2×2 Upper Triangular Matrix Rings Over $\mathbb{Z}_n$. — Missouri Journal of Math. Sciences **26** (2014), issue 2, 151–167.

[9] A. E. Guterman, M. A. Efimov, Monotone maps on matrices of index one. — Zap. Nauchn. Sem. POMI **405** (2012), 67–96 (in Russian). Journal of Mathematical Sciences **191**:1 (2013), 36–51, New York (in English).

[10] F. Harary, Graph Theory. Addison Wesley, 1969.

[11] A. Li, R.P. Tucci, Zero Divisor Graphs of Upper Triangular Matrix Rings. — Communications in Algebra **41** (2013), number 12, 4622–4636.

[12] B. Li, Zero-Divisor Graph of Triangular Matrix Rings over Commutative Rings. — International Journal of Algebra **5** (2011), number 6, 255–260.

[13] P. G. Ovchinnikov, Automorphisms of the poset of skew projections. — J. of Functional Analysis **115** (1993), 184–189.

[14] P. Šemrl, Order-preserving maps on the poset of idempotent matrices. — Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) **69** (2003), 481–490.